What Caused the Great Depression?

By Adam Sanchez

In the midst of one of our country’s worst economic crises, a new president is elected because he promises hope and change. The outgoing president is despised as a shill for the wealthy. The incoming president has promised to clean up the economic mess: high unemployment, industry crippled by overproduction, vast inequalities of wealth that have resulted in a dangerous debt bubble, and a financial meltdown bankrupting some of the biggest banks. This was the United States in both 1932 and 2008. The similarities between Franklin Delano Roosevelt’s campaign promise of a “New Deal” and Barack Obama’s “change you can believe in” were too much for an astute observer of history and politics to ignore.


Yet, less than a year later, some commentators began comparing Obama to another Depression-era president. “Much like Herbert Hoover,” Kevin Baker wrote in a July 2009 article for Harper’s, “Barack Obama is a man attempting to realize a stirring new vision of his society without cutting himself free from the dogmas of the past — without accepting the inevitable conflict.” Indeed, at the end of his
presidency, it is difficult to compare Obama’s achievements in office with the vast economic reforms created during the FDR era.

However one analyzes the impact of Roosevelt or Obama, it is clear that the Great Depression and the New Deal are vitally relevant to those grappling with today’s economic crisis. As a 10th-grade U.S. history teacher at Madison High School in Portland, Oregon, I knew that studying the 1930s would be especially pertinent to my diverse, largely poor and working-class students, whose families are still living with the effects of the 2007–08 meltdown. My goal was to get students to see the similarities and pinpoint differences between the two crises and the two presidents. I hoped they would question why Roosevelt’s presidency produced so many more and so much deeper structural reforms than Obama’s. By the end of Roosevelt’s first two terms in office, non-agricultural private sector workers had the right to organize unions and the National Labor Relations Board was created to enforce that right. The unemployed had access to a new, permanent system of unemployment insurance, and the elderly could rely on Social Security. Millions of people were put back to work through federal jobs programs.

Did the differences indicate that FDR was a better politician? Was he more left-leaning than Obama and today’s Democratic Party? Or was the difference the result of massive pressure on Roosevelt from below — the strength of organized labor and other mass movements of the 1930s? Were the New Deal reforms an instance when the government genuinely intervened on the side of poor and working people or, as historian Howard Zinn wrote, were they aimed at “giving enough help to the lower classes to keep them from turning a rebellion into a real revolution”? Exploring these questions requires delving into a people’s history of the Great Depression and the New Deal — one too often overlooked in the history textbooks.

Beyond the Stock Market Crash
I knew the first step was helping my students understand what caused the Great Depression, and I wanted to do it in a way that would help them understand economic crises today. That’s the subject of this article (“Who Made the New Deal?” describes how I taught the New Deal itself). 

Previously, when I taught the Great Depression, I was dissatisfied with the curriculum I used, especially the textbook explanations of the causes of the economic crisis, which focus almost exclusively on the 1929 stock market crash. For example, the “Causes of the Great Depression” section of Portland’s adopted textbook History Alive! Pursuing American Ideals devotes half-page sections to the crises of overproduction and underconsumption, but the authors spend three full pages explaining the stock market crash.

The problem with focusing narrowly on the crash is that it locates the explanation for the crisis in the financial sector, implying that the financial sector is not itself intimately linked to the real, productive economy. This prevents students from understanding the root causes not only of the Great Depression, but any economic crisis. It removes the role of ordinary people in the economy by focusing exclusively on a small and privileged group: investors with enough cash to play the stock market. As economist Richard Wolff explains: “Today’s global economic meltdown is no mere financial crisis . . . [but rather] a systemic crisis rooted in the conflicted relation between employers and...
employees across all capitalist enterprises in the United States.” The problem, he insists, “engulfs households, enterprises, and the government. It is a crisis of capitalism and not merely of finance.” (2009)

To help students understand this concept, I created a simulation, the Widget Boom Game, which draws inspiration from Rethinking Schools editor Bill Bigelow’s Thingamabob Game simulating the relationship of capitalist production to the climate crisis (see Resources). I wanted students to understand that a capitalist economy, in which businesses compete with each other in hopes of capturing a larger and larger share of their market’s profits, is inherently prone to crisis.

Originally I tried to create a simulation that included both overproduction—when there are too many goods on the market to be sold for a profit — and underconsumption — when workers make so little they can’t buy the products. Unfortunately, the math got too complicated, so I decided to center the simulation on overproduction and deal with underconsumption in the discussion afterward.

I thought that having a strong grasp of overproduction would help students understand what caused and continues to cause economic crises under capitalism, and also help them understand the early New Deal. For example, students — like much of the U.S. population during the Great Depression — have a hard time understanding the logic behind the Agricultural Adjustment Act (AAA) of 1933. The AAA paid farmers to not plant on part of their land and to destroy crops and livestock. As my student Alberto declared on learning this, “It’s crazy to pay farmers to destroy food while people all around the country are going hungry.” But, of course, it isn’t crazy if you are looking at the problem through the logic of the free market: Prices for agricultural goods were too low to sell at a profit (even though there were millions of people who needed those goods). So, in order to raise the prices of agricultural goods and make farming profitable, the government reduced the supply.

Similarly, on the advice of business leaders, Congress passed the National Industrial Recovery Act, which suspended antitrust laws put in place to prevent monopolies, so businesses in a specific industry could come together in trade associations and write “codes of fair competition.” From the perspective of a worker or someone living in poverty, this proposal was a disaster — it allowed businesses to get together to keep wages low and prices high. But from the standpoint of a corporate executive, it made perfect sense. If businesses could get together and agree on how much to produce, they could balance out the market to their mutual advantage.

The Widget Boom Game
After completing some background lessons on the labor movement and early struggles between workers and employers from The Power in Our Hands (see Resources), we were ready to play the Widget Boom Game. I separated students into seven small groups of “widget” producers and generated some initial enthusiasm by taunting students with the chocolate bars they would receive if they won. Then I encouraged each group to come up with an original name for their company. I wrote the company names — Widgets World Wide, Chocolate for Life, and so on — on the Widget Boom Game Scoring Guide, and then passed out the Widget Boom Game Role Sheet, which we read together. As the role sheet explains, during the game the various companies compete against each other to capture more and more of the widget market:

Your goal, of course, is to end up with a mountain of money. There are several ways to increase your profits. One way to increase profits is by reducing your labor costs. If you pay your workers less, you have more money left over as profit. You can also make your workers work faster or for longer hours without raising their pay. The problem is that you’ve already done this. . . . Right now there isn’t much profit to be made by reducing your labor costs.
So the best way to increase your profits is to sell more widgets by increasing productivity — increasing the number of widgets each worker can produce. There are new machines that allow workers to produce more widgets in the same amount of time. In fact, newer and better machines are being invented all the time. With each new piece of productive machinery you buy, your business can produce more and more widgets.

Every member of the group with the most profits at the end of five rounds would receive a candy bar. However, as the role sheet explains, there’s a problem:

There are only so many people who need widgets. If there are too many widgets on the market, there won’t be enough people who want or can afford to buy them. If this happens, there is a crisis of overproduction in the widget market. No one knows exactly how many widgets is too many, but market analysts suspect it to be somewhere between 1,000,000 and 3,000,000 widgets. If the total production of widgets for all rounds goes above this trigger number, it will start an economic depression. All the widget companies will go out of business and no one will receive any candy.

At this point, I made a show of secretly writing the trigger number for overproduction on a piece of paper, folded it up, and taped it to the chalkboard to reveal at the end of the game.

For each of the five rounds, every group filled out a Widget Production Handout, which helped them calculate how many widgets they wanted to produce and how much profit they would make that round.

During the first round, I circulated around the room, helping groups that didn’t quite understand what to do. I encouraged groups to keep their production values to multiples of a hundred to keep the math simple. Once it was clear all the groups knew how to play the game, I began encouraging them to produce as much as possible, acting like an infomercial for widget producers: “Everyone wants a widget! They can’t get enough of them! They’re begging you to make more!”

As each group finished their Widget Production Handout, I collected it. After collecting all the handouts, I focused the class’s attention on the Widget Boom Game Scoring Guide at the front of the room. The teams watched carefully as I wrote down how many widgets each group had produced — and how much closer they were to producing past the limit of the market.

After the first round, teams had the option of buying new machines that exponentially increased their productive capacity. This, of course, meant more profits for their group, but also threatened that widget production would be extended beyond the limits of the market. If they were buying a new machine, they incorporated their new productive capacity into their math as they filled out the Widget Production handout.

At the end of the fifth round, I opened up the folded paper and revealed the trigger number. There was a collective “Awww!” as students realized that no one would get chocolate. Without fail, the competition among teams for chocolate led them well above the trigger number. Even when students produced far beyond the 3,000,000 mark, they eagerly waited for the reveal, hoping that somehow all those “market analysts” were wrong.

“Can we still get some chocolate, Mr. Sanchez?” Juan desperately asked. “Sorry, Juan, that’s not how capitalism works,” I replied. If I was worried that the absence of a chocolate payday would sour my relationships with students, Jackie, who was typically more engaged with her latest text message than the topic we were studying in history class, quelled my fears as she left the room. “Can we do this every day? I can’t believe I had so much fun in history class.” I laughed and took her comment as a compliment, trying hard not to see it as an admission that the entire first quarter didn’t measure up.

The next class, the students stepped out of their roles as widget industry corporate executives to discuss the simulation. I started by
projecting an infographic with 24 white houses and one red stick figure. Text at the top of the graphic explained that “1 in 7 American houses are empty. 1 in 402 Americans are homeless.” To hammer this point home, text below the graphic stated: “24 empty houses are available for each homeless American.”

“That’s awful!” Amelie cried out.

“Why don’t we just give those homes to the homeless people?”

“Great question, Amelie. The reason ‘we’ don’t just give homeless people homes under our current economic system is because the companies that made those homes wouldn’t get any profit if they were given away.”

“Yeah, you can’t just give people free stuff,” Michael interjected.

“But that’s horrible,” Amelie insisted.

“Absolutely,” I said. “I started with this graphic because this is a crisis of overproduction — just like our simulation the other day. Under the economic system of capitalism, a crisis of overproduction doesn’t mean that millions of people don’t need or want the ‘overproduced’ goods. It just means that businesses can’t sell those goods at a profit. This will be important to keep in mind when we look at the proposals for how to fix the economy — in particular the agricultural economy — during the Great Depression.”

Then I asked who or what they thought was responsible for the crisis of overproduction that we triggered in class. “Ali!” Lateesha blurted out, referring to a particularly animated student whose motto during the simulation had been “Go big or go home!”

After the laughter died down, I tried to get them to dig a little deeper. “Beyond blaming individuals or particular groups, think about why no one stood up and said: ‘This is crazy. We’re all going to lose. Let’s cooperate on this and not make as many widgets.’ Is there something hidden going on here? What about the rules of the game itself? How were the rules responsible for the crash?”

“It wasn’t particularly our fault,” said King, a member of Ali’s group.

“Yes, it was!” Lateesha insisted. “The whole goal was to get the most money. So some people thought ‘we have to get the most money’ and were too greedy. I’ll admit it — I was thinking that, too.”

Michael added: “No one wanted to cooperate because who cares about the other companies; it’s all about you. I want the chocolate so I’m going to produce as much as I can.”

“It was because of competition!” Alberto confirmed.

“Yeah, you were really encouraging us to be competitive, Mr. Sanchez, because you didn’t want to give away any of your chocolate,” Leo remarked.

“What would you have needed to do to limit the widget production?”

“We could have all joined together and made one big company.” Maria responded.

“Absolutely. You could have created a monopoly, where one company has all or most of the control over the market. That way you could make sure you never produced too many widgets. But let’s say there was a law against monopolies. What other ways could you have prevented the crash?”

“Communicate? Cooperate instead of fighting each other?”

“Yes. If you all were able to get together and decide on how much should be produced,
you might be able to avoid producing too much without merging into one big company,” I replied. “But how would you make sure that no one produced too much? Let’s think about the real world. If there was too much production in one sector of the economy, who would have the power to tell the businesses in that sector that they have to cooperate and produce less?”

“The government,” answered Leo.

“By doing what? What can the government do?”

“They could pass a law.”

“Exactly. They could regulate the economy, meaning they would put regulations or restrictions on what business could and couldn’t do.

“So, to sum up, we’ve got three possible scenarios for how to prevent the economy from crashing: 1. You could all become one big business — a monopoly; 2. You could cooperate to try to prevent each other from producing too much; or 3. There could be government regulation — laws that let the government limit production.

“These options are important to remember because, during the Great Depression, they were all ideas that various people and groups suggested for how to fix the economy. The two main ideas from the business community were: Let’s bring together all the businesses in an industry to cooperate in trade associations, and let’s get rid of antitrust laws that prevent monopolies. At the same time, other people were saying: “No, we should regulate business; let’s put laws in place saying what they can and can’t do.”

“The Communist Party, Socialist Party, and other leftists went even further. They said that we needed a fourth option: The problem, they said, is the system of capitalism itself. As long as businesses are competing against each other to make a profit, there will always be economic crises. Those organizations thought that workers should own the businesses, and instead of producing for profit they should produce things based on what humans need. So they would have wanted to make sure that all those homeless people got to live in the empty houses.”

At this point in the discussion, the limits of the simulation became obvious. Although the Widget Boom Game was useful in helping students grapple with a key concept like overproduction, the game’s lessons were inherently limited because all students played the role of capitalists. This shaped their immediate perspectives on how to “fix” the crisis they caused, and reinforced what is already the dominant perspective of the media and their textbooks. Almost universally the go-to solution...
that surfaced in the postgame discussion—or occasionally during the game — was to group businesses together and create a monopoly. I was glad that students had experienced the lessons from The Power in Our Hands that put them in the roles of workers. Recalling those experiences helped them understand the limits of the simulation.

I asked them: “I want you to think about what effects these different proposals would have on workers. For example, think about how a monopoly would affect workers’ ability to organize. Would it be easier for workers to organize a union at McDonald’s or at Little Big Burger (a local burger chain)?”

“Little Big Burger,” Desmond responded. “Because McDonald’s is so big they don’t care if workers organize in one store. You would have to organize all over the country.”

“Right. The bigger the company, the more power they have over their workers. So if companies are allowed to merge into a monopoly or get together in trade associations, what is going to happen to their workers’ working conditions?”

“They’re going to be paid less.”

“And they’re going to have to work longer hours.”

I nodded agreement. “Now let’s go back to the beginning of the game, when I said you couldn’t make any profit from reducing your labor costs because you were already making your workers work faster and longer for little pay. Imagine for a second if every industry paid their workers this badly — the bare minimum they needed to survive. How might this affect the economy?”

“Workers couldn’t buy anything because they don’t have any money,” said Desmond.

“Exactly! And that is called a crisis of underconsumption — the flip side of a crisis of overproduction. These two concepts are important because, depending on which one you emphasized, you had a different solution to the Great Depression.”

After this comment some students were losing focus, so I assured them I only had one last question — even though I really had two. “What if workers are being paid less than they need to get by? What options do they have? If you can’t afford something you need now, like food for your children or rent money, what could you do?”

“Put it on your credit card,” said Jackie confidently.

“Right! You could go into debt to buy the stuff you need. And let’s say you’re a business at the start of the game and you want to buy the biggest machine possible but you don’t have enough money, what could you do?”

“Get a loan!” said Pratima.

“Exactly. And this is how the banks get involved in the economy. Banks want individuals and businesses to borrow money, because then they get interest on the loans, and that’s how the banks make a profit. Loans and credit help to prolong the boom, but they ultimately make for a bigger crash when the crisis hits because they encourage businesses to stretch their capacity way beyond the trigger number in the market, and they encourage consumers to stretch their spending way beyond what they can afford. So banks, credit, and loans exacerbate the crisis. They make the crash worse.”

I wondered here if I had been drawing too many conclusions for students, but I wanted to make sure that they understood the implications. Students were highly engaged in the simulation, but the lessons are ambiguous unless clearly drawn out through discussion. In the future, I would like to find ways for them to struggle more with the implications themselves.

After the discussion, we read the excerpt from History Alive! on “Causes of the Great Depression.” Before reading, we discussed what stocks were and how they fit into what we had just discussed. I tried to emphasize that, like loans and credit, stocks are connected to the real economy. So, although the focus of the textbook is on the stock market crash, the stock market crashed because there was something wrong in the real economy. I encouraged them to focus on the small sections on overproduction and underconsumption. For homework, I asked...
them to write a paragraph answering the question: What caused the Great Depression?

After reading their paragraphs, I wished I had chosen an alternative reading. Despite my emphasis on the real economy, about a quarter of my students still blamed the Great Depression on the stock market crash. Apparently, even an experiential simulation could not trump the authority of the textbook. Many students, on the other hand, definitely got it. Amy’s paragraph was one of the clearest:

The Great Depression was caused by overproduction and underconsumption. Companies produced too many products. Because workers were paid so little, they didn’t have enough money to buy the products being made. For a while, people borrowed money from the banks so they could still buy the products they needed, but they had no way to pay back their debt. Stockbrokers bet on businesses, but when those businesses started to fail because of overproduction, the stock market crashed. Banks ended up closing after giving out their customers’ savings to stockbrokers, who didn’t have money to pay banks back after the crash.

Now we were ready to explore the different proposals put forward to fix the economy after the Great Depression. (See “Who Made the New Deal? The Economic Recovery Conference Role Play”)

Resources

Detailed instructions and materials for the Widget Boom Game are included on the following pages.


Adam Sanchez (asanchez@zinnedproject.org) is an editor of Rethinking Schools magazine. Sanchez teaches at Harvest Collegiate High School in New York City and works as curriculum writer and organizer with the Zinn Education Project. He is the editor of Teaching a People’s History of Abolition and the Civil War.

This article was first published in Rethinking Schools magazine. Rethinking Schools offers a series of books providing practical examples of how to integrate social justice education into social studies, history, language arts, and mathematics. They are used widely by new as well as veteran teachers and in teacher education programs. Visit www.rethinkingschools.org to learn more.
The Widget Boom Game
Lesson Plan

Materials Needed
- “Widget Boom Game Scoring Guide”
- Copies of the “Widget Boom Game Role Sheet” for every student
- Five “Widget Production” slips per group (seven groups)
- Several candy bars

Lesson Objectives
- Students will better understand what caused the Great Depression—and other economic crises under capitalism
- Students will use their experiences during the simulation to predict possible solutions for economic crises

Suggested Procedure:
1. Before the beginning of the game, put the “Widget Boom Game Scoring Guide” on the overhead.

2. Distribute copies of “The Widget Boom Game Role Sheet” to students. Read it aloud. You’ll notice that, for simplicity’s sake, the “companies” don’t have to worry about developing markets for their goods. In this game, whatever the companies produce will be sold. Thus, with each round, each company increases its capital and can produce even more thingamabobs if the student “managers” of that company so choose.

   The math is simple: Each company begins the game with $2,000 and no machines. The amount of widgets they can produce in one round is determined by the number of machines they own and the amount of money they have. At the start of the game, each widget costs $2 to produce. They will make $4 from every widget they make. So, for example, if a group produces at full capacity in round 1 they will spend all $2,000, produce 1,000 widgets, and get back $4,000 in profit.

   If they accumulate enough profit, they can spend some of it to buy a machine, which allows them to increase their business’s productive capacity. (See “Widget Production” for machine pricing.) Building on the first example, if they put $2,000 of their profit from round 1 into buying a machine in round 2, they will be left with the same amount of money they started with in round 1 — $2,000. But with this new machine, widgets cost only $1 to produce. This means if they produce at full capacity again they will make $8,000 instead of $4,000. Make sure to emphasize that their factory can only house one machine at a time, but each round they can buy the newest machine they can afford. Note that the role sheet promises candy for all the winners. It’s important that you have desirable candy awards ready, and that you show these to students to motivate them to try to win. However, every class I have ever done this with has produced so many widgets that it triggered an economic crisis, so students aren’t likely to earn the reward you select.

3. The concluding paragraph of the “Widget Boom Game Role Sheet” warns students: At the end of round 5, if the total number of widgets produced (i.e., by all seven groups in all five rounds) goes above the market limit,
it triggers a “crisis of overproduction” and nobody wins.

Set the figure between 2,000,000 and 3,000,000 widgets. Write this “trigger number” on a piece of paper, fold it over, and tape it to the front board. Emphasize to your students the tension in the game — as in real life: *They will be rewarded for how much profit they produce for their company, but the more widgets they produce, the closer they bring the widget market into a crisis of overproduction.*

4. Divide the class into seven groups. Tell each group to come up with a widget company name.

5. Distribute five “Widget Production” slips to each group. Ask them to make their first production decisions. They should discuss these within their small group, complete the information on the slip, and hand them to you without revealing their numbers to their competitors. I’ll often model the first round for students so they know they are completing the Widget Production slips correctly.

6. Begin by writing all the company names on the board or overhead. Then post the round 1 production figures. Be sure to add up the number of widgets produced in each round and keep a running total of all the widgets produced in the game. Point out the “loser” companies whose profits don’t match those of their competitors. Tell these companies that their stockholders are getting restless because their competitors are so much more successful, even though they began with the same amount of capital. If one company decides that it wants to produce no or few widgets, I may declare that company bankrupt and distribute those students to other groups so that they get the message that failing to compete has consequences. I always remind students how good that chocolate will taste for the students in the winning companies.

7. Continue round by round, indicating the most and least profitable companies.
   Emphasize that as they purchase machines, production increases exponentially.

8. Finish all five rounds, even if they exceed the trigger number. Then unveil the trigger number (the market limit): Open up the folded paper and read the number out loud.

9. If, by some miracle, at the end of round 5, widget production of all companies has not exceeded the market limit, award the prizes to the groups as indicated on their role sheet.

10. Afterward, before discussing, ask students to write about the activity. I choose a few of the following questions:
   • In our class, who or what was responsible for the “crisis of overproduction”?
   • Beyond blaming this group or that group, why didn’t anyone stand up and say, “This is crazy. We’re all going to lose. Let’s cooperate on this and not make as many widgets?”
   • Is there something deeper going on here? What about the rules of the game itself? How were the rules responsible for the crisis of overproduction?
   • Let’s relate this to the real world. Is this just a game? Obviously, there are a lot of ways that this wasn’t real: we were competing for chocolate, we had only five rounds, there is no such thing as a widget, we assumed that people were buying absolutely everything you produced, you’re in high school, not corporate executives. But how does this simulation connect to reality and what lessons does it teach us?
   • If we were to do this again, do you think that you could win? What would you do differently?
   • What effect might these new strategies have on your workers?
• Let’s go back to the “Widget Book Game Role Sheet” where I said you couldn’t make any profit from reducing your labor costs because you were already making your workers work faster and longer for little pay. Imagine for a second if every industry paid their workers this badly — the bare minimum they needed to survive. How might this affect the economy? (Use this question to explain a crisis of underconsumption.)

• In the real world, let’s say a business wants to buy machine No. 4 from the get-go, but doesn’t have the money. What can they do? (Get a loan.)

• What if industry starts paying workers even less than they need to get by? What options do workers have? If you can’t afford something, but need it now, what would you do? (Use these last two questions to discuss the role of banks in prolonging a boom and exacerbating an economic crisis.)
Widget Boom Game
Role Sheet

You are managers of a company that produces widgets. You are in competition with other widget companies. Your goal, of course, is not to end up with a mountain of widgets but to end up with a mountain of money. In order to accomplish that goal, you will need to sell as many widgets as possible to widget consumers. In today’s economy there is especially fierce competition as you search out new markets to sell widgets. Even though you have an important and highly paid job owning and running a business, your job is only as secure as your company. As with any capitalist enterprise, you need to make a profit. If you don’t, other widget companies will outsell you and you’ll be forced to shut down your operation.

There are several ways to increase your profits. One way to increase profits is by reducing your labor costs. If you pay your workers less, you have more money left over as profit. You can also make your workers work faster or for longer hours without raising their pay. The problem is that you’ve already done this — made your employees work harder and faster for little pay. But a worker needs at least enough money to live, and if your workers are working too hard they’ll get sick and won’t be able to work. So right now there isn’t much profit to be made by reducing your labor costs.

Ultimately, the best way to increase your profits is to sell more widgets. You want to try to increase productivity — the number of widgets each worker can produce. There are new machines that allow workers to produce more widgets in the same amount of time. In fact, newer and better machines are being invented all the time. This means that with each new piece of productive machinery you buy, your business can produce more and more widgets.

But if all businesses are producing as many widgets as possible, this can create a problem for you. People can only buy so many widgets. So if the widget industry is producing too many, people will stop buying them. Prices for widgets will plummet and it will no longer be profitable to produce them. This “crisis of overproduction” could send the widget industry into a tailspin, with businesses shutting their doors left and right.

On the other hand, the biggest companies have the best chance of surviving a crisis of overproduction because the more profit you have, the easier it is to wait out a crisis. During a crisis, hundreds of thousands of workers will lose their jobs and the fierce competition for jobs means you can pay even less. Secondly, the machinery and the factories of bankrupt businesses are tremendously devalued. The bigger companies that have survived a crisis can sweep in and buy up these dirt-cheap businesses. The big fish eat the small fish. The cheaper capital and labor costs mean that the remaining businesses can once again begin to operate profitably and a widget boom can begin again. In other words, you don’t want to be one of the small fish, so produce as much as you can.
Rules of the Game

Each company will begin the game with $2,000 and no machines. The number of widgets you can produce in one round (your productive capacity) is determined by the number of machines you own and the amount of money you have. Of course, you could produce fewer widgets than your productive capacity will allow, but that wouldn’t be very profitable.

At the start of the game, each widget costs $2 to produce. You will make $4 from every widget you produce and sell. So, for example, if you produce at full capacity in round 1, you will spend all $2,000, make 1,000 widgets, and you’ll get back $4,000 in profit.

If you accumulate enough profit, you can spend some of it to buy a machine, which allows you to increase your business’s productive capacity. Building on the first example, if you put $2,000 of your profit from round 1 into buying a machine in round 2, you will be left with the same amount of money you started with in round 1 — $2,000. But with this new machine, widgets only cost $1 to produce and still make you $4! This means that if you produce at full capacity again, you will make $8,000 instead of $4,000. Your factory can only house one machine at a time, but each round you can buy the newest machine you can afford. The later the model of your machine the bigger your productive capacity will be.

To simulate the real-life consequences, here’s how scoring will work: There will be five “production” rounds, at the end of the fifth round, you will be rewarded based not on how nice you are to each other, but on how much profit you’ve made for the company:

Rewards:

- Top group: Candy bars for every group member
- Group 2 & 3: One candy bar to split between group members
- Group 4: Nothing
- Group 5: Nothing
- Group 6: Nothing
- Group 7: Nothing

Should all groups tie, each group will receive one candy bar to share.

Here’s the catch: There are only so many people who need widgets. If there are too many widgets on the market, people won’t want to buy them anymore. If this happens there is a crisis of overproduction in the widget market. No one knows exactly how many widgets is too many, but market analysts suspect it to be somewhere between 1,000,000 and 3,000,000 widgets. If the total production of widgets for all rounds goes above this trigger number, then all widget companies will go out of business, starting a depression, and no one will receive any candy.
# Widget Production

Round # _____  Company name:______________________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machines:</th>
<th>Cost:</th>
<th>Widget Cost:</th>
<th>Widgets per $</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No. 1</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No. 2</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$0.50</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No. 3</td>
<td>$16,000</td>
<td>$0.25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model No. 4</td>
<td>$32,000</td>
<td>$0.10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Profit Calculator:

**Step 1:** (Not buying a machine? Skip to step 2)
(profit from previous round) – (cost of new machine)=

\[
\text{profit from previous round} - \text{cost of new machine} = \underline{\text{__________}}
\]

**Step 2:** What is your available capital?
(If you did not buy a machine this round, it is the profit you made last round or $2,000 in round 1. If you did buy a machine it is your answer to Step 1)

\[
\underline{\text{__________}}
\]

**Step 3:** How much of your available capital do you want to spend producing widgets this round?

\[
\underline{\text{__________}}
\]

**Step 4:** How many widgets are you making this round?
(answer to Step 3) x (widgets per $)=

\[
\underline{\text{__________}} \times \underline{\text{__________}} = \underline{\text{__________}}
\]

**Step 5:** How much profit will you make?
(i.e., Available capital after production)
(answer to step 2 – answer to step 3) + (answer to Step 4 x $4) =

\[
\underline{\text{__________}} - \underline{\text{__________}} = \underline{\text{__________}}
\]

\[
\underline{\text{__________}} \times \underline{\text{__________}} = + \underline{\text{__________}}
\]

\[
\underline{\text{__________}} = \underline{\text{__________}}
\]
**Widget Boom Game Scoring Guide**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROUND 1</th>
<th>ROUND 2</th>
<th>ROUND 3</th>
<th>ROUND 4</th>
<th>ROUND 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company Name</td>
<td>AVAILABLE CAPITAL</td>
<td>WIDGETS PRODUCED</td>
<td>AVAILABLE CAPITAL</td>
<td>WIDGETS PRODUCED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Widgets Produced</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CRISIS OF OVERPRODUCTION:**
When total widgets produced exceeds the trigger number (somewhere between 1,000,000 and 3,000,000)
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